

277 comments

STATINTL

Re comments:

1. a. ~~XXX~~ Right, use one or the other.

1.b. This is valid if you intended to paraphrase and avoid change in procedure and requirements. In my opinion, there is no need for the 270a. Military detailees should be bound by the same conditions set forth in the Regular Secrecy Agreement.

Note: If you drop the form for military assignees, A.(4) on the previous page must be revised---"figures 1 through 5."

STATINTL

1.c. I do not agree with re the adverse publicity bit. Security is the issue. Publicity relates to "image" in the public relations sense.

STATINTL

1.d. Again, I disagree with The proposed addition mentions "security implications" as a kind of afterthought to what is presented as a personnel matter, namely if outside employment would affect efficiency

1.e. I agree with the deletion. Logically, travel approvals, briefings, etc are particularly important for travel involving risk of capture area. ILLEGIB

STATINTL

1.f. has a point, but the suggestion will not do the job. The paragraph should be reworded to indicate ICS employees may so identify themselves to State

1.g. This represents a security precaution which has some validity if we wish to project the "Big Brother" image. As stated, there is the possibility of serious misunderstanding. Are we asking people to turn in their personal passports on the assumption they cannot be trusted to maintain custody? Obviously not, but somebody might think so. Recommend the proposed addition not be included in the reg.

1. h. Forget it. There is such an office.

1.i. This is correct. Your section on media contact may have to be revised, or better yet, drop from Security regs and include in Management.

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks:			
<p><i>Agree with Paul & Andy</i></p> <p><i>re 2 & 3.2</i></p> <p><i>Action taken</i></p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
<p><i>John</i></p>			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2002/06/18 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000900050002-9

Approved For Release 2002/06/18 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000900050002-9